Home
Popular
Answers BETA
Explore
All
Chat

CUSTOM FEEDS
Create a custom feed

RECENT
r/microhorrorstories
r/greenville
r/GreenvilleSC

COMMUNITIES
Create a community
Manage communities
r/90DayFiance
r/acne
r/alappuzha
r/alaska
r/AlaskanMalam...
r/algeria
r/AlgerianAquaLife
r/Algiers
r/AmazonPrimeV...
r/americaneskimo
r/AmericanHorro...
r/AnointedAK
r/antarctica
r/Archeology
r/Argonian
r/ArizonaTea
r/Aruba
r/AskEngineers
r/AskReddit
r/askscience
r/AskScienceFict...
r/aviation
r/Awwducational
r/Banknotes
r/bda
r/belgium
r/betterCallSaul
r/Bilaspur
r/biology
r/BoJackHorsem...
r/boonai
r/boulder
r/bourbon
r/BravoRealHous...
r/breakingbad
r/brooklynninenine
r/Brunei
r/bulgaria
r/CanaryIslands
r/cars
r/castles
r/CCW
r/centralcoastnsw
r/chemistry
r/chengalpattup...
r/Chennai
r/ChicoCA
r/ChitraLoka
r/CoinsFromEuro...
r/compsci
r/coolguides
r/croatia
r/CryptidResearc...
r/DailyNauruFacts
r/Damnthatsinter...
r/dataisbeautiful
r/datascience
r/Denver
r/Dinosaurs
r/DisneyPlus
r/DKbrevkasse
r/doha
r/Drexel

RESOURCES
About Reddit
Advertise
Reddit Pro BETA
Help
Blog
Careers
Press
Communities
Best of Reddit
Topics

r/NTU • 5 days ago
CurveSad2086 CoHASS Influenzas Top 1% Commenter

A transparent response to ST's article: no AI used

Info Sharing



Remarks	Explanation
Santos is not in the reference list	https://cla.auburn.edu/news/articles/food-historian-comments-on-wet-markets-and-covid-19/ I have provided the link above during the consultation and explained the article, which corresponds to what I've used in my essay. I missed this out in the reference list, but the source is legitimate.
Ruiz et al. (2023) is in the reference list	The 339% is a manual calculation. There was a mathematical error I made, the correct formula should be 746/158%, which is 472%
Kim (2020) is in the reference list	Kim's article should be cited in the paragraph later about travel bans instead. The correct citation here for U.S. officials withdrawing funding should be https://www.nytimes.com/2020/05/29/health/virus-who.html
Lynteris (2020) is in the reference list but should be cited as Lynteris, Christos. 2020. "Sinophobia, Epidemics, and Interspecies Catastrophe." Hot Spots, Fieldsights, June 23. https://www.culanth.org/fieldsights/sinophobia-epidemics-and interspecies-catastrophe instead of Lynteris, C. (2020, January 31). Sinophobia, epidemics, and interspecies catastrophe. Society for Cultural Anthropology.	I wanted to standardise the APA formatting, that's why I abbreviated Christos to 'C'. I don't think this is a mistake as the formatting should be consistent in the bibliography.
Should be Lee (2013)	The website which I retrieved the citation from spelt Lee as Li: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10565080/#b33-kjmh-26-1-59, which resulted in this mistake

Hi everyone, I'm the OP behind the AI case and I'm currently texting my prof (who was in the panel) to ask about the ST article and if it's about me, because everyone's confused about the article suddenly dropping.

But I want to state that I do have it in recording that my citation sorter wasn't AI during the hearing. They also could access each link that I provided during the hearing, showing that it wasn't false. You can try accessing the links in the pictures as well.

And also for my typos, I've attached it to this post so you can see that these are spelling errors rather than AI hallucinations. The pic is the same document I provided NTU as well to prove that my citations were real. They have acknowledged this.

During my meeting with NTU this week about my grades, NTU's discussion with me was about my writing, and NTU didn't prove genAI use in my essay. I have told the news outlets about this, and hopefully they'll update it.

NTU didn't give any of us a heads up about the Straits Times article, but I want to transparently put my mistakes here first for viewing, before anyone says anything.

So please don't say anything about me being "non-transparent" or sus. I will provide everything I can. But right now I'm confused about the article as well.

415 · 279 · Share

Join the conversation

Sort by: Best · Search Comments

Jazzlike-Employee-51 • 5d ago

If you can publicly publish the entirety of the essay document that you submitted to NTU, the truth would be undeniable to all. A link to a Google Drive PDF upload or along these lines. Let the world see, independently verify, and judge for themselves.

And feel free to indicate and/or annotate the 6 or 14 or whatever issues that NTU has with it.

76 Reply Award Share

Alert-Rise3003 • 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas

I tried asking for her essay prematurely when she first posted and got ghosted

12 Reply Award Share

CurveSad2086 OP • 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas Top 1% Commenter

Published my essay and full appeal document on my account.

12 Reply Award Share

Impressive-Glove9057 • 23h ago

it seems doctored.. from your original. the ss in your original defence of your citations.. are different from this document

5 Reply Award Share

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 4d ago

You still want to play until so big *sigh* Don't get yourself burnt in the end.

0 Reply Award Share

MoneySwitch1273 • 4d ago

how do i access it?

1 Reply Award Share

Swiftdancer • 4d ago

https://www.reddit.com/user/CurveSad2086/comments/1m3nwa5/my_full_essay_my_appeal_form_to_ntu_full/

3 Reply Award Share

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 5d ago

If you are not in a capacity to be a judge, don't act like one.

-67 Reply Award Share

Jazzlike-Employee-51 • 5d ago

Clearly, someone here doesn't have the capacity to read and understand English

36 Reply Award Share

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 5d ago

Let me try again to make it clear to you. If you are not a saint, don't try to act like one.

-50 Reply Award Share

LifeRoll8099 • 5d ago

By publicizing this case in the court of public opinion, the OP has already invited the world to judge the merits of her case. At present, this is a they said-she said situation and it is difficult to determine who is telling the truth. If we are to assess whether or not AI was used to produce the essay, we need to read the essay and its citations to have a sense of whether the citation errors are mere typos (as claimed by OP) or non-existent sources produced by AI (as claimed by NTU).

29 Reply Award Share

4 more replies

Jazzlike-Employee-51 • 5d ago

Such glorious hypocrisy at work right here. So beautiful.

7 Reply Award Share

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 5d ago

Joker

-13 Reply Award Share

zhapstairs_21 • 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas

Your reddit comment history is a joke

13 Reply Award Share

Surely_Effective_97 • 5d ago

Yea just checked after you mentioned, and lol that guy's history seem to be always talking about private unis and always insulting other people prolly due to insecurity. Seems like he is a private uni student.

6 Reply Award Share

AlphaBetaDeltaGamma_ • 4d ago

Reminds me of DiamondShrine or whomever that infamous person was back then during hwz forums (esp edmw) days lol

1 Reply Award Share

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 5d ago

How about yours?

-6 Reply Award Share

NotGangsta • 5d ago

From the article: On the panel's decision to keep the zero mark, she told The Straits Times on July 18 that while she does not agree fully with its reasoning and conclusion, she was ready to move on.

OP, very simple. If you didn't speak to any reporter today before the articles were published, then this isn't about you.

Not sure why there's any point of confusion here, though, I can only say right now all sources of info point to you being the student in the article. Clarify and move on. But if this is indeed about you then you need help. A lot of it in fact.

131 Reply Award Share

nightcar76 • 5d ago

Agreed, more info from the CNA article:

> When asked about the mistakes and why the school may have said they were not "mere typos", the student declined to share a full list of the 14 mistakes because she was not sure if the document is confidential.
>
> Addressing the non-existent sources, she added: "It's only non-existent because of the typos. And frankly writing citations wrongly is quite common amongst undergraduates. I just got unlucky."
>
> She shared some examples of her mistakes – misspelling an author's last name as Lee instead of Li and two instances of getting the citation date wrong.

So was the Lee instead of Li a coincidence? Honestly it seems really unlikely to me.

37 Reply Award Share

johntrytle • 5d ago

Not sure what to beleeve.

10 Reply Award Share

FortuneVivid9120 • 5d ago

Just take a look at OP's fourth row in the table.

They wrote 31 Jan 2020, instead of 23 June 2020.

https://www.culanth.org/fieldsights/sinophobia-epidemics-and-interspecies-catastrophe

How they could have wrote the completely wrong date is beyond me

9 Reply Award Share

onebearz • 5d ago

Are you refering 'they' to NTU? NTU cited the correct format, OP wrote 23 June 2020. Note the 'instead'.

1 Reply Award Share

FortuneVivid9120 • 5d ago

They referring to OP. OP wrote the wrong date: Jan 31

4 Reply Award Share

CleanAd4618 • 5d ago

There are three grammatical errors in your last sentence. It's par for the course in Singapore. You can't seriously find AI cheating on that basis. I recently went to an SAF parade. Two officers and a senior warrant officer spoke. None of them could speak in coherent English. That's how things are.

-4 Reply Award Share

FortuneVivid9120 • 4d ago

What's your point lmao, I'm sorry I don't treat every forum comment as a formal essay

3 Reply Award Share

PainRack • 2d ago

Writing citations wrongly last time cost me multiple marks leh.

It's treated as I made up the reference also because couldn't find.

Hell. The fact that using a website she didn't include date accessed is already lousy citation liao, especially for a webpage that was so frequently updated. (WHO covid site)

1 Reply Award Share

lord_swallow • 5d ago

Indeed, I feel like the OP is guilty.

Just before a few days they tried to white wash their image by posting how they scheduled a $40 meeting and were not found guilty. Today, their lies have been shattered.

24 Reply Award Share

ChickenRice87 • 5d ago

Agreed. Citation sorter? Why do you need it when you can just use word to sort. It's so sus.

15 Reply Award Share

Ok-Baby-1195 • 5d ago

Probably cos we see NTU and op name is still fresh on our mind and whenever we see NTU and AI usage , our brain just wander off to is NTU slandering op again ?

0 Reply Award Share

ilkless • 5d ago

Stories like this remind us that for all the funding and research, unis like NTU/NUS are kampong unis that have to take in people of the lowest common denominator who are so woefully out of their depth and utterly unemployable. Nowhere close to talent in the world-class unis we beat the drum about being the equals of

-7 Reply Award Share

missdrinklots • 5d ago • Edited 5d ago

This is just one student though. Bit unfair to say all ntu/nus students are hence like that. Many of us also aren't privileged enough to get overseas education. I've worked with many good local uni students as well, or even private unis.

8 Reply Award Share

ilkless • 5d ago

> I've worked with many good local uni students as well, or even private unis.

So have I, as a local uni grad. The issue is there are also tons of people who have no business being in university being chucked there, people like these jokers. It is unbecoming for the standards and rankings we aspire unis to have, especially the flagship unis of our country.

In no other major economic power do the flagship public unis have such low standards for admission and academics. Compare NUS/NTU to Todai/Keio/SNU/KU/Yonsei/Tsinghua/Peking/IIT Mumbai, and in Europe, TUM/Sciences Po/ETH Zurich/Delft

And yet jokers here in SG don't even understand how low the bar has been made for them

1 Reply Award Share

BallNelson • 5d ago

Mate, you think Ivy Leagues and Oxbridge don't have academic frauds?

8 Reply Award Share

ilkless • 5d ago

Of course they do. But most of their frauds are not so unsophisticated and attention-seeking.

That aside, I consider OP's attitude completely entitled, juvenile and unemployable and unless she reflects and shapes up I hope no employer or client will have the misfortune of taking her on.

She should be thanking her lucky stars she's not a law student here. Look at the Chief Justice throwing the book at students and fresh grads who did similar things.

0 Reply Award Share

MoneySwitch1273 • 5d ago

She is not wrong though. NTU hasn't proven AI use. Instead, it drew an inference that AI was used, in some way, in her essay because the panel judged that her mistakes were not consistent with ordinary typos, but with AI hallucinations.

A different panel might conclude she is just sloppy rather than dishonest.

-4 Reply Award Share

ilkless • 5d ago

She went scorched earth and clearly is so out of her depth that she didn't even recognise those errors until pointed out at appeal. And I think her representatiins on Reddit and her claims of what she represented to the panel up to the appeal would be dishonest anyway.

I think a 0 is far too merciful and I would honestly have suspended her.

Said student has also been called out in this subreddit itself as a histrionic person with many corroborations. So I would not trust her account at all.

7 Reply Award Share

MoneySwitch1273 • 5d ago

I am still not seeing clear evidence that the 14 errors = Gen AI use. I can see a lesser charge of really sloppy academic work though, which can warrant a zero.

IIRC, the school ghosted her and another student when they submitted their appeals, and they only got an audience after they went public -- what you call scorched earth. All three of them expressed discomfort around clarity, fairness and proportionality (esp with the academic fraud label).

I actually find it very strange that the instructor whose decision is being appealed sits on the appeals' panel. Isn't that a conflict of interest?

-1 Reply Award Share

ilkless • 5d ago • Edited 5d ago

I think there is reason to believe taken as a whole the confluence of very specific errors is indicative but not conclusive of genAI use. I will find it very hard to believe, circumstantially, manual entry. Even with basic checks of the output or knowledge of citation practices would make the errors glaringly obvious.

Truly, this is the standard of student at the median you get from our local kampong unis, speaking as a local uni grad who has also had the chance to regularly work with global talent. It's an economic miracle our government somehow manages to convince MNCs to handhold these ppl into PMET jobs, but clearly the MNCs are wising up and being more selective with talent.

She was also very disingenuous in representing her instructor as a backwards dinosaur who claimed a citation sorter was in itself gen AI, and plenty of people thought she meant Mendeley or Zotero, but it's clearly some low-rent shady app that is a sloppy LLM wrapper if even that.

And she couldn't even identify these erroes until pointed out to her after the fact, after which she could manufacture contrived post-hoc explanations and rationalisations. If she wanted to demonstrate she was not or less reliant on GenAI than made out to be, then these are errors that could easily be preempted with a simple review and study of citation practices.

Stop pretending that the underdog is always on the right side of history and that institutions are always out to get people.

The way I see it, this level of academic disfunction shows someone is so out of their depth that they has no place in a bachelors programme, not least in a national university.

9 Reply Award Share

MoneySwitch1273 • 5d ago

I don't look at the facts in the same way as you. To me

1. MNCs locate here because of a quality local workforce
2. The OP said she was disciplined for using a citation sorter that's powered by ML algorithms. She never hid that it was Studycrumb -- one of the top hits when you google "citation sorter". I believe she even shared documentation showing that she was taken to task for this.
3. Its standard practice when punishing people to tell them what they are being punished for and to present all the evidence to them so that they can mount a defence. You see post-hoc explanations and rationalisations. System designers see due process.

I have no idea how you concluded that I am pretending that the underdog is always on the right side of history. What I said was there is no direct evidence pointing to GenAI use. It is an inference, and because of that, a different panel might have concluded that she is just sloppy.

3 Reply Award Share

1 more reply

Bulky-Minute-9348 • 4d ago

What sloppy academic evidence? We need only one non human error to convict her beyond reasonable doubt.

The error she made is not mere typo as discovered. You seem too thick in your head to understand that important distinction.

3 Reply Award Share

MoneySwitch1273 • 4d ago • Edited 4d ago

I think you may have misread my comment. I said I see evidence of a sloppy student given the number of errors she made, and this can warrant a zero.

As for one non-human error, NTU hasn't said what this is. It has instead used terms like "false citations" and "non-existent citations" without making clear how the two are dissimilar from each other and from a typo.

0 Reply Award Share

10 more replies

cassowary-18 • 5d ago

To OP and any other uni students who read this, please learn how to use a citation manager like Endnote, Mendeley, Zotero, or the inbuilt one in Microsoft Word. It saved so much of my time generating citations, and you can use it as evidence in any case against you.

45 Reply Award Share

ikzz1 • 5d ago

But can a citation manager be integrated with Chatgpt, like what OP allegedly used?

1 Reply Award Share

cassowary-18 • 5d ago • Edited 5d ago

It could, and apparently Endnote is already integrating AI into its software to generate annotated bibliographies, but the core services, generating bibliographies and in-text citations, don't need AI.

My point is that if bibliographic typos are supposed evidence of AI usage, then you need to minimize your chances of that by using a software that can accurately generate the citations using common citation formats. Another benefit of using a reference manager is that if you include a source in your research into your reference manager, but you end up not citing the source in-text for whatever reason, the software is smart enough not to include the citation in the bibliography (which was what OP was flagged for as well) if you use the plug-in for Word / Google Docs.

6 Reply Award Share

breakarule_ • 23h ago

Hi, would like to ask what do you think of Scribbr for citations? Ty :)

1 Reply Award Share

cassowary-18 • 17h ago

Never used them before. The three I mentioned are well established.

1 Reply Award Share

pricklyheatt • 5d ago • Edited 5d ago

Eh don't know why my previous comment was deleted.

But, one of the best advice I got is to always keep a low profile and stay silent, especially when in shit.

Edit: and to reply to your comment on my previous comment, you can basically do what you have done, of appealing ($40?) and all, without posting on Reddit or making this viral on mothership.

The ST article might not be about you and you might be innocent but it's still a shit show that could be avoided.

32 Reply Award Share

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 5d ago

Agree with you 😊

4 Reply Award Share

ikzz1 • 5d ago

She wanted to sway the public opinion to put pressure on NTU. Keeping a low profile wouldn't help because NTU would reject her appeal without a second thought. Now NTU would reject her appeal with a second thought.

3 Reply Award Share

pricklyheatt • 5d ago

Nice, are you clairvoyant?

5 Reply Award Share

Puzzleheaded_Style52 • 4d ago
< Edit School>

Could you explain why keeping a low profile would have been better?

1 Reply Award Share

pricklyheatt • 4d ago

Oh you can't see why keeping a low profile would have been better as compared what's happening now?

Where she has to try to 'defend' herself publicly against ST and NTU by posting her work on reddit for strangers to judge? For her to be labelled a liar by internet strangers?

Lol.

3 Reply Award Share

Timely-Tune6009 • 3d ago

just lay low? I think OP's doing a great job in getting awareness and setting precedent to prevent the prof from throwing ai allegations on a whim. Kudos to her for fighting for what she believes is right, and not hiding like a rat if OP didnt do anything wrong.

1 Reply Award Share

pricklyheatt • 3d ago

Agree, kudos to her for dragging herself and everyone else through dirt so publicly.

3 Reply Award Share

madd_ies • 5d ago

you know people are starting to realise the truth right? there won't be an end to this.

49 Reply Award Share

Salty-Discussion-725 • 5d ago

what truth ? sry im slow

3 Reply Award Share

madd_ies • 5d ago

Many people have alr sussed out this case but kept quiet because it was still under investigation. OP hasn't been completely truthful to the media. There's also a lot of other posts exposing her e.g NTUSU saga but I won't comment on that because I'm not completely sure how true that is.

39 Reply Award Share

Sharp_Appearance7212 • 5d ago

ngl at this point they might as well release the essay 😂

10 Reply Award Share

SpaceAuk • 5d ago

What is this ntusu saga? Any tldr?

3 Reply Award Share

madd_ies • 5d ago

https://www.reddit.com/r/NTU/s/abzQSrCD3L the document linked inside has been deleted, hence why I say I don't wish to comment further. I genuinely hope it's not true because this is too foul of a case.

5 Reply Award Share

ChickenRice87 • 5d ago

Pls link to the NTUSU saga

2 Reply Award Share

Alfrappe • 5d ago

https://www.reddit.com/r/NTU/s/abzQSrCD3L

3 Reply Award Share

FlipFlopForALiving • 5d ago

Huh same person?

3 Reply Award Share

Bad_Finance_Advisor • 5d ago

If same person, she needs a ton of help. Too concerned about what others think of her. Too easily baited into pointless circular arguments. Unable to disagree with others. I suppose that's just the process of growing up.

4 Reply Award Share

T_Thanos • 5d ago

saga



2 Reply Award Share

cherrypoplar • 5d ago

I looked at the website you shared (https://www.culanth.org/fieldsights/sinophobia-epidemics-and-interspecies-catastrophe).

You said in your explanation that the Lynteris and Fearnly (2020) source that you used came before the line that you used ("affront to humanity"), so you assumed it was an extension of the previous line's source.

I find your explanation quite unbelievable. No one who has read academic articles would treat a phrase appearing after a citation to be attributable to the citation, unless the text says otherwise. And also, there was another source alongside the Lynteris and Fearnly (2020) source. Why didn't you also cite the other source from Maruyama, Wu and Huang (2016)?

19 Reply Award Share

angeslarereal • 5d ago

Was thinking the exact same thing. OP's explanation is pretty sus tbh

12 Reply Award Share

Weak-Roll-3077 • 5d ago

that's just 6 out of the 14 mistakes picked out by the panel though

13 Reply Award Share

CurveSad2086 OP • 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas Top 1% Commenter

Because I don't have 14, NTU gave me a form of these 6 citation mistakes to explain myself during the hearing, which I did, and provided them here.

0 Reply Award Share

ImpossibleAnger • 5d ago

> When asked about the mistakes and why the school may have said they were not "mere typos", the student declined to share a full list of the 14 mistakes because she was not sure if the document is confidential.

I don't get it, you told CNA you don't want to share the full list because it may be confidential - meaning you have the full list?

17 Reply Award Share

CurveSad2086 OP • 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas Top 1% Commenter

The full list is in this post, I just confirmed that this document wasn't confidential at least. I didn't want to share the entire appeal form I submitted because that one is confidential iirc

-10 Reply Award Share

slashrshot • 5d ago

Confidential to protect who?

1 Reply Award Share

Weak-Roll-3077 • 5d ago

for no. 4, how did you cone up with a different random date for the citation? if I was on the panel, I would be agree that its not a simple case of typo

8 Reply Award Share

stealthfire0 • 5d ago

OP keeps copying citations from secondary sources. And the way she cites makes it looks like she read the original primary source. That by itself is probably already an issue.

If you didn't read the original source, you need to specify you got it from a secondary source.

12 Reply Award Share

jhanschoo • 4d ago

Using secondary sources is also a very Gen AI thing cuz they use search engines on articles but don't have access to the papers. Whereas a studious student accesses the article from their institution or pirates it

6 Reply Award Share

ForzentoRafe • 4d ago

Libgen, my best friend

2 Reply Award Share

Dry-Scientist-6898 • 4d ago

Have plenty of things that I'll refrain from saying especially after reading OP's essay, but I'm just glad that Sabrina has been vindicated as having at least some basis for her grading decisions

12 Reply Award Share

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 4d ago

Yes, even if we don't show our appreciation, we also don't bash our teaching mentor this way.

6 Reply Award Share

OutrageousIncident20 • 5d ago • Edited 5d ago

the whole ppga course knows who u are already .... i hope u apologised to the professor AT THE VERY LEAST. and address all ur other alleged bullying incidents (https://www.reddit.com/r/NTU/comments/16q4mth/tldr_onglai/ 💩)

R/NTU RULES
1 Respectful Behaviour & Accountability
2 Personal Information & Privacy
3 Unverified Information
4 Respect Differences of Opinion
5 Advertisement / Promotion / Spam
6 NSFW Content
7 Course Work Surveys
8 Flair Usage
9 No admission related posts

r/NTU • 27 days ago
Why can't we use AI if the profs use AI?



4

929 upvotes · 83 comments

r/NTU • 26 days ago
Why... (AI use)
147 upvotes · 50 comments

r/NTU • 5 days ago
NTU upholds zero grade for student who used AI in essay

straitst...

83 upvotes · 8 comments

PROMOTED

going viral TWICE and pulling people & an innocent prof down is crazy. have u thought about her mental health??????? js for ur supposed " fame" that backfired??

pls grow from it.

26

trenzterra · 5d ago

Could you explain the onglai saga? The link you posted doesn't show anything bad about her?

4

Bulky-Minute-9348 · 5d ago

Should double the punishment and just expel these students. They committed two acts of dishonesty.

11

Impressive-Glove9057 · 23h ago

agree
plus dragging ntu and the prof's name through mud .. wth

1

Ofure_swisNigyuree · 5d ago

Anyone with the entire essay so we can look at it?

10

lord_swallow · 5d ago

Do share the link, if you get a hold of the essay.

6

ZeroPauper · 4d ago
Alumni · Top 1% Poster

She posted it on her page.

0

lord_swallow · 5d ago

I believe the OP is guilty. A lot of her supporters look like alternate accounts operated by OP, since they do not have any other activity other than comments on the NTU sub.

26

PotatoFeeder · 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas

Wouldnt be surprised

OP has a history of such actions dating back years.

The Eaten Ong threads were infested

14

fishblurb · 5d ago

How do you know this poster is Ethan Ong's friend and they astroturfed?

1

PotatoFeeder · 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas

There were clearly alt accounts on both sides in the eaten drama. Many many sus accounts

However when Ruot was cosplaying Elon with the pedo accusations, no one was on her side

3

missdrinklots · 5d ago

I'm not sure what is this ruot and Elon case. But does reddit allow you to create so many alt accounts? Also I don't think that sounds healthy.

3

PotatoFeeder · 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas

Yea u can have as many alts as you want

Also its ruot, her reputation is not a good one to say the least

5

fishblurb · 5d ago

> OP has a history of such actions dating back years.

I'm not asking about astroturfing in general, I'm referring to this part. How is OP linked to that?

1

PotatoFeeder · 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas

I mean its either Ruot herself or mega simps

So...

Balance of probabilities is quite clear

1

fishblurb · 5d ago

Isn't the accused her a different person from the Ethan Ong case? Then you're just making baseless claims about them astroturfing based on your feelings

0

PotatoFeeder · 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas

Huh? No its the same girl

The tele channel is hers

She is the one that got fed alcohol by eaten.

Which girl in the eaten case are you referring to?

Annabelle was the NTUSU vice pres

Nadia was the NUS law student that bodoh

Ruot was the accuser against eaten

2

fishblurb · 5d ago

Did they reveal the name of the student in this AI case?

3

PotatoFeeder · 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas

I'll ping u in a sec in another thread

Done, check ur notifs

1

Bulky-Minute-9348 · 5d ago

This case was so clear right at the start that the students were lying. No one makes the kind of typo that they showed as evidence in their Google docs..But shame on the many redditors who blindly support them.

18

Ok_Pattern_6534 · 4d ago

Agree with you. People should stop being emotional after hearing one side of the story and start looking at full facts before they start judging.

5

HistoricalRaise2271 · 5d ago

Could you show 1-2 paragraphs of your essay?

9

CurveSad2086 OP · 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas · Top 1% Commenter

Sure, I'll PM you

-4

HistoricalRaise2271 · 5d ago

Thanks for sending over... You really standby not using AI?

11

HistoricalRaise2271 · 5d ago · Edited 5d ago

When you posted for the first time, I asked you to send over your work because I noticed a line or two in your Google Doc that might looked suspicious. In my work, I've encountered a lot of AI-generated content and any professor worth her salt can usually tell whether a piece of work was generated by AI. Not to mention there is a small number of free AI detectors that can do the work fairly well. Certain words and phrases tend to stand out - and I'm not talking about your citations.

18

HistoricalRaise2271 · 5d ago · Edited 5d ago

Based on some of the replies, I need to emphasise that I do recognise a lot of AI detectors are unreliable and it is also easy to break them (including turnitin). But if OP releases her essay in full (leaving out the references which are in question is perfectly fine), her peers who use LLM often would be able to sense the uncanny resemblance in terms of argument, sentence structure, vocab and tone.

If a prof really wants to be nasty, she could grill her students on their knowledge acquired during the writing process. There are so many things that the prof could quiz her students on.

And if you take a similar stance as I do, then a lot of OP's self-justifications will make sense.

6

Separate_Vanilla_57 · 5d ago

How do you tell? I do notice that other than the dashes, ChatGPT likes to use "it's not x, it's y."

3

HistoricalRaise2271 · 5d ago

See, you can tell as well. And many more.

5

stabilityboner · 5d ago

I research in this field and most of these claims of being able to "detect AI" tend to be nonsense. I once did a check on some of my work that I wrote in 2018/2019 and many of the "suspiciously AI" words were used in my papers then.

The main exception I noticed is that ChatGPT seems to have been a little too trigger-happy with em dashes these days.

3

⊕ 1 more reply

ZeroPauper · 5d ago
Alumni · Top 1% Poster

If you're in the know... you would know that no AI detector is anywhere near accurate. There's a reason why no University worth its salt uses AI detectors to charge students with AI usage.

-1

HistoricalRaise2271 · 5d ago

well... her prof probably receive hundreds of essays to know that LLMs generated ones are strikingly similar. To be clear I know false positives do occur and I did not rely on the AI detector to make my judgement (even though in this case it is extremely high). If I could tell, her prof would be able to. I wish to take OP's side if I could.

6

ZeroPauper · 5d ago
Alumni · Top 1% Poster

Any insight on the 14 non-existent citations? I'm more interested in that as it's definitive proof.

0

HistoricalRaise2271 · 5d ago

No, I didn't examine that. What initially caught my attention was actually the essay, despite all the earlier discussion on the citations being an AI sorter. My suspicion is that the prof feels the same about the essay but pinpointed at the references being the more obvious "smoking gun".

6

ZeroPauper · 5d ago
Alumni · Top 1% Poster

If the references were non-existent, then there's no two ways about it. I wonder why the profs in the first review panel didn't detect any of these tell-tale signs. Wouldn't it be quite easy to check if citations are real?

1

⊕ 1 more reply

princemousey1 · 5d ago · Edited 5d ago

You are a professor? But you used "standby" instead of "stand by" earlier on.

-6

HistoricalRaise2271 · 5d ago

Apologies I was using my phone to type. While I'm not a linguistic prof, it doesn't take one to see a smoking gun.

3

princemousey1 · 5d ago

Did OP send you the entire thing to check yet?

0

HistoricalRaise2271 · 5d ago

2 paras

2

Alert-Rise3003 · 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas

OP, so are you able to provide a yes/no clarification to whether you are RUOT? The same person involved in the SU drama.

9

madd_ies · 4d ago

lol whole ppga course knows her alr XR....

7

⊕ 1 more reply

arcadia0204 · 5d ago

actually no one can take her word for it here, if she say yes or no who will believe her? no one can prove it

3

Alfrappe · 5d ago

are you going to sue ST for false reporting? I think there's POFMA for that

31

drwackadoodles · 5d ago

pofma is reserved for political rivals of the PAP only

22

AlphaBetaDeltaGamma_ · 4d ago

Preventing **O**pposition **F**rom **M**oving **A**head

2

Alfrappe · 5d ago

was sarcasm fam

4

Other_Somewhere_4367 · 5d ago

There's potential defamation but it'll be hard to prove since your name is not mentioned explicitly.

7

CurveSad2086 OP · 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas · Top 1% Commenter

Yeah, I'm quite distressed that everyone's siding with ST on this. I think if it escalates I'll just release the voice notes of NTU saying my work wasn't AI. But they told me I couldn't record the meeting, so I'm not sure what my options are.

-8

danilody · 5d ago

Wait, did u just admit to surreptiously recording a meeting which u were not supposed to?

32

Sharp_Appearance7212 · 5d ago

tbf if OP doesn't record no ones gonna believe her

12

CurveSad2086 OP · 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas · Top 1% Commenter

I have no choice. I need some form of defence because I don't know what they'll say to the news

17

akumian · 3d ago

Just quit school since you don't deserve them.

1

Impressive-Glove9057 · 23h ago

lololol
right????
idk how she'll survive in the working world wif 0 integrity

1

Alfrappe · 5d ago

like i said, you can sue ST for factually inaccurate reporting but I really doubt thatll go thru

7

Impressive-Glove9057 · 23h ago

you need permission before recording
try and release the recording lol, and see the legal shitstorm that'll hit

1

Agreeable_Prior_2094 · 5d ago

Why can't you share your entire essay with the public?

14

ikzz1 · 5d ago

Then it might expose her as a fraud. It's better to disclose only selective information that suits her narrative. This is basic marketing 101...

14

ZeroPauper · 4d ago
Alumni · Top 1% Poster

She just did on her account page. But then again, people will say that's edited to remove proof of AI usage.

There's no winning this tbh, do or don't do also lose.

-3

Agreeable_Prior_2094 · 4d ago

NTU can also release her submitted version in case she wins the public opinion with her version. And I would trust NTU's version because it has a huge reputation to protect.

5

ZeroPauper · 4d ago
Alumni · Top 1% Poster

No, NTU would never release anything, they don't have to. Releasing it would only do them harm.

Also, in the news article they have already said that the 0 marks stand and it's final.

-3

BarnacleHaunting6740 · 3d ago

The OP had released what they "claimed" to be everything. Feel free to read

2

Impressive-Glove9057 · 23h ago

err... she DID edit it. u go read

2

ZeroPauper · 10h ago
Alumni · Top 1% Poster

Why does it matter when it still reeks of generative AI as some redditors have pointed out in the thread itself?

0

Impressive-Glove9057 · 6h ago

oh? i thought you were her staunch supporter? so now you say it reeks of generative AI???? congrats
say hello to your brain

1

ZeroPauper · 6h ago
Alumni · Top 1% Poster

Shouldn't you be glad that people do take new evidence into account and change their perspective based on it?

I don't get your point, would someone who continues to support her after said evidence was released be more or less worthy of your condemnation?

1

Impressive-Glove9057 · 5h ago

i congratulated you??

1

ZeroPauper · 5h ago
Alumni · Top 1% Poster

Ok edgelord.

1

joantan85 · 5d ago

No 4. is not just a mistake of formatting from Cristos to C. The month of publication was incorrect which you did not provide an explanation of how you got 'January 31'. The high number of errors in citation is too sus.

14

slipDisc85 · 5d ago

Probably from:

> Lynteris, Christos, and Lyle Fearnley. 2020. "Why Shutting Down Chinese 'Wet Markets' Could Be a Terrible Mistake." The Conversation, January 31.

Source

I'm not saying its human error or AI, just guessing the source of the "Jan 31"

0

joantan85 · 5d ago

If I am in the panel, I would have given her 0 directly based on this error. The error was pointed in her face but she still thinks the error was with the C. Anyone who have visited the link would have cited correctly.

10

MoneySwitch1273 · 5d ago

Could just be sloppy. She filled the RHS column with information from the LHS column. If even after visiting the site, she didn't catch that the date was wrong then maybe she's just not very detail-oriented. So she had to backward guess what annoyed the professor and assumed it was the name. Has this never happened to anyone when they are young and don't recognise the importance of tiny details?

-6

Clean-Shake7298 · 5d ago

the article called out 14 instances of false citations/data. OP, can you send us how many they flagged out, rather than just those that you addressed?

7

CurveSad2086 OP · 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas · Top 1% Commenter

Hello, I don't have 14. This is the form NTU asked me to fill out to explain my citation mistakes, which I filled out on the right column and sent back to them. They acknowledged this.

-4

Alfrappe · 5d ago

https://www.reddit.com/r/NTU/s/abzQSrCD3L

this you OP?

30

madd_ies · 5d ago

oh yikes!

9

kyrades · 5d ago

What? How are you sure this is OP...?

7

PotatoFeeder · 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas

Go the other thread right before this one

someone showed SS showing its ruot

10

Alfrappe · 5d ago

psure

-1

kyrades · 5d ago

Evidence being??

3

⊕ 10 more replies

ChickenRice87 · 5d ago

Omg!!!!

4

Bbfa_sgp · 5d ago

Wow

4

Alfrappe · 5d ago

wow right

2

LifeRoll8099 · 5d ago

What OP can provide now in the spirit of transparency is the full essay. Until such time, OP is relying on screenshots of partial information in her attempt to convince the public that NTU's panel of professors and AI experts is wrong in its judgment that AI likely produced the false citations. It's an uphill battle for OP, especially since NTU has reviewed a wider body of evidence than what OP has selectively disclosed on Reddit.

This is OP's statement to CNA as reported on 18 July: "I'm just upset that there's **no AI found** so the goalpost shifted from AI to my writing standard". OP's post asserts the citation errors are "**spelling errors** rather than AI hallucinations."

The statement from NTU's panel contradicts OP's account: "NTU said on Friday (Jul 18) that the panel identified 14 instances of false citations or data in the submitted essay, noting that **non-existent sources were cited and they were not 'mere typos'**. .... **False citations of this nature are often due to factual inaccuracies generated by generative AI**".

12

ikzz1 · 5d ago

> False citations of this nature are often due to factual inaccuracies generated by generative AI

Yeah basically NTU is saying these false citations are likely due to AI but can't prove with 100% certainty, as there's no practical way to do that.

3

PotatoFeeder · 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas

Again, did you or did you not provide a statement to ST on 18 July to the effect of "im ready to move on"

Or is ST hallucinating?

18

arcadia0204 · 5d ago

If you see the article on the app that section isn't inside for some reason, could be edited out

4

CurveSad2086 OP · 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas · Top 1% Commenter

I did tell ST that I want to move on from the case, but after the article's misrepresentation, I need to prove my case all over again

15

nightcar76 · 5d ago

So you did speak to the reporters, which means that this article is about you no?

9

CurveSad2086 OP · 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas · Top 1% Commenter

Yup, but the other 2 spoke to the reporters as well.

2

nightcar76 · 5d ago

The CNA article said this:

> She shared some examples of her mistakes – misspelling an author's last name as Lee instead of Li and two instances of getting the citation date wrong.

Correct me if im wrong but this pretty much confirms that its you based on the documents in this post? Unless the other 2 also somehow made the same error of Lee vs Li.

11

CurveSad2086 OP · 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas · Top 1% Commenter

Yup, then that's me. I just shared this document with CNA.

4

Clean-Shake7298 · 5d ago

why did you say you want to move on when you haven't heard about the appeal results?

6

CurveSad2086 OP · 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas · Top 1% Commenter

Because I told the ST reporter that I was very tired, and after NTU saying that there won't be any permanent record, I'm too tired to dispute anything else.

7

Intelligent-Pounds · 5d ago

There is no misrepresentation by ST or CNA. You were found guilty of academic misconduct and will be getting a 0. End of story.

7

chingyuanli64 · 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas

Either you are telling a lie, or ST is fake news.

Failed Fact Checks

- None in the Last 5 years

I think this explains

10

slipDisc85 · 5d ago

Lols cos ST can keep changing their Facts as they please, like i mentioned, ST already changed the title of their article at least 3 times since they published this afternoon. Imagine machiam like OP can submit her assignment and keep live updating it as her prof checks and raises issues...

-5

chingyuanli64 · 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas

Every time ST changes the article, at least we are nearer to the truth. Whereas for OP's claims, I don't know where she is driving us.

4

Witty_Temperature_87 · 5d ago

why are you confused about the article - it is clearly referencing you.

4

slipDisc85 · 5d ago

NTU upholds zero grade for student who used AI in essay; panel found 14 false citations or...

NTU upholds zero mark for student initially accused of using AI

AI at NTU | College of Computing and Data Science | NTU Singapore

THE STRAITS TIMES

NTU upholds zero grade for student penalised for academic misconduct; panel found 14 false citations or data

Not sure if anyone noticed, but the supposedly infallible ST that some commenters here were standing behind has just sheepishly and silently revised their misleading article title to remove all mention of AI. I do think that OP indeed has a case of defamation here given ST's previously inaccurate and misleading title.

17

slipDisc85 · 5d ago

Omg can this ST have some journalistic integrity and QC, they just changed their title yet again...

4

Bulky-Minute-9348 · 5d ago

Change title so what, that's just the usual diversion nonsense. Fact is evidence is pointing towards the students lying. don't even need 14 errors. Just one close to impossible mistake that a human wi never make in citation is enough to convict them

2

Weak-Roll-3077 · 5d ago

OP is clearly trying to save face, they don't care whether they are wrong or right as long as the public opinion is in their favor.

14

jeeseokjin · 5d ago

If one or two citation errors then perhaps we can understand. You mean you don't check your sources before submitting? This is not a timed exam. This is an assignment where ample time has been given. I'm in the opinion you used AI to draft the essay and simply chose to use the sources cited by the AI.

In previous ChatGPT versions, the sources were all hallucinated. I know because I used it and the sources cited were rubbish. This is an exact copy of what I did with ChatGPT; fake sources.

Just admit and move on. If this were in a workplace you would have been terminated and blacklisted from the industry. But I doubt you can join any industry that requires licensing, e.g Banking.

6 Reply Award Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 5d ago • Edited 5d ago

If this continues, fame will come when the academic term starts. Sometimes, it is good to move on than to fight a worthless and caseless war.

5 Reply Award Share ···

Smart_Owl_9395 • 5d ago

btw side note: ur account seem quite familiar, i think u are the guy who is always very active on threads regarding private unis. just curious are you a private uni student or sth?

4 Reply Award Share ···

presidentmilky • 5d ago

this is turning into a he said she said... show evidence, who is at fault here? the student? NTU's statement?

4 Reply Award Share ···

Smooth-Equivalent994 • 5d ago

OP, it's best to move on and learn from the lesson. Just make sure you don't do it again

5 Reply Award Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 5d ago

Well said!

0 Reply Award Share ···

[deleted] • 5d ago

Comment deleted by user

Reply Share

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 5d ago

Hahhahaa that's a good one!

1 Reply Award Share ···

CurveSad2086 OP • 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas 🦠 Top 1% Commenter

If I stay silent, people will assume guilty and side with NTU saving face on ST. But if I speak up, people start picking me apart. I'm not sure what to do man.

-3 Reply Award Share ···

SeaCucumbers_69 • 5d ago

Sue ST for defamation if you really have a case. The truth will come out.

6 Reply Award Share ···

slashrshot • 5d ago • Edited 5d ago

Keep speaking up please.
NTU is hoping to grind you down.

People in power love to bury the truth by hiding behind systems and the media.

3 Reply Award Share ···

pricklyheatt • 5d ago

https://www.reddit.com/r/NTU/s/GtqaATRP4K

1 Reply Award Share ···

creamfriedbird_2 • 4d ago

It is better to speak up and face criticism.

1 Reply Award Share ···

MongooseLoose9388 • 4d ago

OP shared her essay and invite anyone to pick it apart. So I thought why not?

https://www.reddit.com/user/CurveSad2086/comments/1m3nwa5/comment/n3yqaoy/

2 Reply Award Share ···

Swiftdancer • 4d ago

Clicking on that takes me to a "page not found" page.

3 Reply Award Share ···

MongooseLoose9388 • 4d ago

Since you ask to pick your essay apart... let's see. Just a brief look at your essay...

Under Background:

During SARS, China was framed as the epicenter of zoonotic disease spillover, reinforcing stereotypes of unsanitary wet markets and exotic animal consumption (Santos, 2021).

You claim that you miss out this in the references. Fair enough. But if you read the article, Santos did not frame china as the epicenter.

The only mention of SARS is this: "While zoonotic disease outbreaks (for example, civet cats and SARS) have been attributed to wet markets in the past, there are numerous examples of other outbreaks that were started by habitat incursion"

No mention of china as the epicenter?

Reports showed a spike in anti-Asian hate crimes by over 339% in Western countries during the pandemic (Ruiz et al., 2023).

You mention that 339% is a calculation error. But from the source: "The number of federally recognized hate crime incidents of anti-Asian bias increased from 158 in 2019 to 279 in 2020 and 746 in 2021, according to hate crime statistics published by the FBI."

With the the numbers, I can't see how you can get 339 from 158, 279 and 746?

746 / 158 = 472% 746 / 279 = 288% (746 - 158) / 158 = 372% (746 - 279) / 279 = 167% 279 / 158 = 176.5%

Lynteris, C., & Fearnley, L. (2020). Why shutting down Chinese 'wet markets' could be a terrible mistake. The Conversation. https://theconversation.com/why-shutting-down-chinese-wet-markets-could-be-a-terrible-mistake-130453

The actual link is: https://theconversation.com/why-shutting-down-chinese-wet-markets-could-be-a-terrible-mistake-130625

If it is a copy and paste of the link, how can you get it wrong?

11 Reply Award Share ···

MongooseLoose9388 • 4d ago

> Wu, T. (2020, February 10). The long history of blaming immigrants in times of sickness. California Mexico Center. https://www.california-mexicocenter.org/the-long-history-of-blaming-immigrants-in-times-of-sickness/

The link brings you to a page that does not contain the content? Actual link is https://www.smithsonianmag.com/smithsonian-institution/long-history-blaming-immigrants-times-sickness-180976053/

Makes more sense that California Mexico center?

Date is also wrong. It should be October 19, 2020

6 Reply Award Share ···

MongooseLoose9388 • 4d ago

Seems like OP deleted what she don't like what I wrote. let me post it again

7 Reply Award Share ···

Nessieinternational • 9h ago
Alumni Top 1% Poster

🤦‍♀️

2 Reply Award Share 95 ···

LeVerse17 • 5d ago • Edited 5d ago

CNA article looks way clearer and more balanced
https://www.channelnewsasia.com/singapore/ntu-ai-reddit-post-zero-mark-appeal-5245671
NTU upholds zero mark for student initially accused of using AI - CNA

A few points that I can't seem to wrap my head around for this case:

1. My understanding of due process is to at least give the student a chance to defend themselves. The initial accusation was that the citation sorter was AI use and OP and the profs spend significant time in her hearing to discuss that. Now the article says there are 14 citation errors. But OP said the university only asked her to explain 6 of them. So OP have no chance to defend herself against the other 8 before the university come to a conclusion?
2. The key issue with citations errors with regards to academic honesty as highlighted in the news article is non existent citation. And non existent citations link back to AI use due to hallucinations. None of the 6 here looks like non existent citation to me and as OP mentioned all the source link can be accessed. Was OP given a chance to defend herself with regards to those non existent citations (maybe in the other 8 errors found) which I believed are critical to the decision?

I think it's probably best to just move on at this point actually, especially since there's no permanent record. In the end, it's just becoming a matter of whether those citations errors are honest typos or hallucinations. Which can end up being a matter of opinions. But based on this 6 examples, I personally don't think the evidence is very strong that it's non existent citations.

6 Reply Award Share ···

OutrageousIncident20 • 5d ago

tf? r u okay? she showed 6 instead of all 14... did she pay u or r u her boyfriend LOLLL

5 Reply Award Share ···

arcadia0204 • 5d ago

apparently she said NTU only ask her to point out/clarify these 6, didn't tell her which are the 14 in total

So all she has in record is this form that NTU asked her to fill up

2 Reply Award Share ···

zhapstairs_21 • 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas 🦠

While I agree that the CNA article is better, it's not obvious which student is it referring to and the timeline goes back or present idk

-5 Reply Award Share ···

LeVerse17 • 5d ago

I think the CNA article is only talking about OP's case and did not make it confusing by adding in elements from the other student cases. Only OP's case made it to the AI expert panel. The case for other students were dropped earlier in the process.

5 Reply Award Share ···

Alfrappe • 5d ago

its quite obvious, you should know theres 2 appeal processes and its stated clearly and admitted that only OP was heard and made it through both

3 Reply Award Share ···

Federal_Comedian_792 • 5d ago

What I've learned from all of this is - avoid AI at all cost. It affects one cognitively. Prolonged use is akin to taking meth. I would like to live with the fact that I earned the degree and not AI.

2 Reply Award Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 5d ago

The most important lesson learnt here is remember to wipe your mouth if you want to eat clandestinely. If you got caught, just have to suck thumb, apologise in hope of leniency and don't go around telling people that you have not been told that food is not allowed here or telling others that you are drinking not eating or why catch me when others are doing it as well.

3 Reply Award Share ···

UninspiredDreamer • 5d ago

Seems like you have a very clear-cut slamdunk defamation case against ST and NTU at this point if you have the receipts.

1 Reply Award Share ···

CurveSad2086 OP • 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas 🦠 Top 1% Commenter

I have a voice recording of both meetings but they told me I couldn't record or release them, even though what they said differs heavily from the ST article. If anyone has legal knowledge, can they advise me on this?

-10 Reply Award Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 5d ago

Not supposed to use AI but used it. Not supposed to record but still did it. All the wrongs seems to become right now.

18 Reply Award Share ···

UninspiredDreamer • 5d ago • Edited 5d ago

And you made the conjecture based on? Nobody really knows if OP is guilty or not besides OP and the school.

I mean, honestly, just put yourself in OP's shoes.

Kena falsely accused. Then the school say "lai we talk, but no record ok?". Then behind closed doors say "not your fault". Then once interview tell the media "the OP is guilty".

Makes sense to record it given the context. Otherwise what? Just lan lan suck thumb to get falsely accused so that the school can cover kachng?

6 Reply Award Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 5d ago

Well if you still don't believe the findings of the appeal panel, nothing can convince you and I don't intend to do so. If I am the one who done wrong, I will just suck thumb and accept the due punishment than to use all sort of ways to whitewash my wrong.

-1 Reply Award Share ···

UninspiredDreamer • 5d ago

Rofl. This is a circular argument is it not? School accused the person. The person say not true. The school say true. And now you say I must believe the school.

Im supposed to mindlessly believe the organisation which has a massive vested interest in protecting their reputation?

Lai ownself check ownself, kaki gong kaki song.

Precisely why the OP should have recorded it, it is clear empirical evidence of the rot. But ofc you would rather the OP just suck thumb and get blamed.

5 Reply Award Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 5d ago

I only believe in dealing with issues in a proper manner under the correct procedure and let things take their course. I condemn any form of bashing and pressuring via public domain to achieve own agenda.

-5 Reply Award Share ···

UninspiredDreamer • 5d ago

And you assume the school follows the correct procedure based on?

Nothing. That's what you are assuming based on.

The school basically came out and said "izliddat" and you sucked it up.

The positions are asymmetrically unbalanced against OP because the school clearly has more ability to give their versions of events to the media.

But ofc you come here and pretend that the school has the "correct" procedure.

Or do you mean ONLY the OP should follow the correct procedure DICTATED by the school (which once again, I remind, has a vested interest to bury the issue)?

5 Reply Award Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 5d ago • Edited 5d ago

Like I have said earlier, you can carry on to think what you think. It is your rights.

1 Reply Award Share ···

iudicium01 • 5d ago

If you haven't done anything wrong, you don't want to leave your academic records tarnished. Find a lawyer for legal advice. There may be pro bono services or you could crowdfund for donations.

1 Reply Award Share ···

slashrshot • 5d ago

No issue. Admin is not law.
Singapore law sides with the truth.

1 Reply Award Share ···

UninspiredDreamer • 5d ago

No legal knowledge from me unfortunately.

Though it sure would be a shame if your computer just happens to be repaired by a close friend and your file got leaked onto the interwebs, iykwim.

-4 Reply Award Share ···

Alert-Rise3003 • 14h ago
CoHASS Influenzas 🦠

NTU mods removed my factual post but left this up? We know who is trying to bury what.

1 Reply Award Share ···

priore8 • 5d ago • Edited 5d ago

So basically, if OP is right, that means the decision was upheld because of wrong citation and nothing to do with the use of AI which, per the claim, is only a reference sorter that NTU likewise agreed it's not use of Gen AI?

So news article TITLE was just helping NTU to save face by alluding it to the previous case abt being penalised for Gen AI when in fact it's abt erroneous citations that has nothing to do with Gen AI?

If OP is indeed right, basically NTU don't wanna say sorry / not willing to say sorry but instead hinge on an initial, but unrelated, issue to update their case?

-3 Reply Award Share ···

Alfrappe • 5d ago

is either OP is right or ST reporting is factual, i choose the latter

7 Reply Award Share ···

CurveSad2086 OP • 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas 🦠 Top 1% Commenter

Yes, thank you for not succumbing to the mob. I really really appreciate it. Gen AI was barely mentioned at all during my meeting with NTU this week, and they themselves acknowledged that I didn't use AI. So I'm not sure why they pulled a quick one on me to the press, which I didn't get a heads up about.

2 Reply Award Share ···

priore8 • 5d ago

Out of curiosity, apart from the 6 which you've mentioned was just you filling in some form, did u in fact have at least 14 citations in your paper?

I was just reading through the comments and understood that you filled in 6 to NTU.

But NTU claimed 14 citation errors.

Putting 2 and 2 together, I'm guessing your actual paper had at least 14 and those errors may in part, or nor, include the 6 you've shown.

9 Reply Award Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 5d ago

According to what was reported, the appeal panel had identified 14 severe shortcomings in the course of its review.

4 Reply Award Share ···

CurveSad2086 OP • 5d ago
CoHASS Influenzas 🦠 Top 1% Commenter

NTU only asked me to fill in these. During the meeting they didn't go through any other mistakes other than this form to fill. There was no email or letter about what exactly the 14 were.

-2 Reply Award Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 5d ago • Edited 5d ago

If the person mentioned in the news report is indeed you and the news report is accurate, it means the review panel had uncovered more shortcomings than what was declared. As simple as that.

8 Reply Award Share ···

vjwkeong • 5d ago

u/CurveSad2086 I had published a letter to ZaoBao a few weeks ago that heralded NTU for providing due process. ZaoBao has reached out to me this afternoon to ask for my comment, very coincidentally just before the ST & CNA artcile came out. Anyways _ I have sent you a DM to see if you need help to present your side of the story. I'm a PTL at NTU and a 30+ year practitioner of Cybersecurity and GenAI.

-3 Reply Award Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 5d ago

So ironical

2 Reply Award Share ···

Weak-Roll-3077 • 5d ago

basically everything is fine if OP is right

1 Reply Award Share ···

Alfrappe • 5d ago

youre right but is either op is right or st is wrong, st would be more credible + op history

4 Reply Award Share ···

AlphaBetaDeltaGamma_ • 4d ago • Edited 4d ago

At this rate, NTU really seems like the fictional nation of Wadiya in *The Dictator* movie (2012) lmfao 🤣

Whatever Admiral General Aladeen says, it goes as well~

-5 Reply Award Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 4d ago

Wake up your idea

1 Reply Award Share ···

starshyf • 4d ago

Reading the comments in this thread and in the article, it's clear nobody is 100% sure or beyond any reasonable doubt that OP used AI in her writing. Her citation is sloppy, but nobody has been able to demonstrate beyond reasonable doubt whether this is due to AI or pure negligence.

It's all based on "I think", "I feel", and anecdotal experiences with gen AI. We're so quick to pass conclusions when the outcome remains the same since day 1 - nothing has been proved

My personal view after reading The Green Mile years back is that I'd rather risk setting free a hundred "maybes" than to condemn one person who might be innocent

-6 Reply Award Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 4d ago

The university's review panel of experts had already completed its review with CONCLUSIVE findings. There are no more uncertainties unless you are technically more competent than the experts, that's another story then.

7 Reply Award Share ···

AlphaBetaDeltaGamma_ • 4d ago

U very free keep camping on this thread

-2 Reply Award Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 4d ago

Not as free as you

4 Reply Award Share ···

Sing48 • 5d ago

NTU is clearly just trying to save face, they don't care whether they are wrong or right as long as the public opinion is in their favor.

-7 Reply Award Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 5d ago

You think too much. Focus your energy doing productive thinking.

4 Reply Award Share ···

Sing48 • 5d ago

What a weird thing to comment when you have spent more time and energy on this than me

1 Reply Award Share ···

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 5d ago

What a weird thing to bash the university when you have no full understanding of what actually happened

4 Reply Award Share ···

BallNelson • 5d ago

You need to focus your energy on reading/writing.

While its very clear that you didn't use a AI to augment your writing, it is also very clear that you don't write well.

-1 Reply Award Share ···

princemousey1 • 5d ago

I would have said something like, "It is obvious you don't use AI in your writing, because no AI in the world writes this badly".

2 Reply Award Share ···

1 more reply

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 5d ago

No need to argue for the sake of arguing

-1 Reply Award Share ···

BallNelson • 5d ago • Edited 5d ago

That's some advice you should take yourself.

You've just been fighting everyone on this thread.

1 Reply Award Share ···

lord_swallow • 5d ago

I believed that Ok_Pattern is OP's alternate account.

3 Reply Award Share ···

1 more reply

Ok_Pattern_6534 • 5d ago

I am only rebutting those ignorant ones

0 Reply Award Share ···

runningshoes9876 • 5d ago

The article says you were given zero for false citation. Are all typos considered false citations? Seems unfair.

And also, now that "using genAI" is not a viable narrative for giving zero considering the backlash, they have now moved on to "false citations" lol. Cannot substantiate original claims so change claim. JOKE

Why don't they just say "Prof wants to give zero because she PMS and felt like it" FACE PALM

not a single word of apology for the distress suffered by student by them with their genAI allegations

-15 Reply Award Share ···

Alfrappe • 5d ago

read facts and decide. don't be anti establishment for the sake of it. what was reported and everything that's out is compelling. what is unverified is OPs steering of narrative and her dodging the identity claim of being ruit

6 Reply Award Share ···

Reddit, Inc. © 2025. All rights reserved.